

## BRIEFING DETAILS

<b>BRIEFING DATE / TIME</b>	Monday, 27 September 2021, 2:30pm and 3:15pm
<b>LOCATION</b>	Teleconference (Microsoft Teams)

## BRIEFING MATTER

PPSSWC-159 – Fairfield City Council – DA174.1/2021 – 121-133 Prairie Vale Road, Bossley Park – Proposed Construction of a Two (2) Storey to Four (4) Storey Seniors Housing Development Comprising 86 Self Contained Units with Associated Seniors Indoor and Outdoor Facilities and Includes:

- Associated Three (3) Levels of Car Parking (Two Levels of Basement and At-Grade) Involving:
- 102 Car Spaces for Seniors Housing Residents and 22 Car Spaces for their Visitors; and
- Separated Club Car Parking (894 Car Spaces) for Patrons and Club Staff
- Associated Refuse and Plant Facilities;
- Ancillary Site Infrastructure and Landscaping;
- Modified and Relocated At-Grade Car Parking to Provide a Total of 347 Parking Spaces for the Club's Patrons and Staff; and
- Improvement to the Public Domain for Improvised Pedestrian Access and Enhanced Streetscape

## PANEL MEMBERS

<b>IN ATTENDANCE</b>	Justin Doyle (Chair), Louise Camenzuli, Nicole Gurran, Ninos Khoshaba and Sera Yilmaz
<b>APOLOGIES</b>	None
<b>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</b>	Ninos Khoshaba: Declared a Non-pecuniary, non-significant interest hisa social member of club Marconi and some of the Directors are known to him, however this will not influence his decision.

## OTHER ATTENDEES

<b>COUNCIL ASSESSMENT STAFF</b>	Sunnee Cullen
<b>OTHER</b>	Mellissa Felipe and George Dojas – Panel Secretariat

## KEY ISSUES DISCUSSED

The Council presented a brief overview of the development.

The Panel Chair drew attention to Part 15 of the *Sydney District and Regional Planning Panels Operational Procedures*, which provides:

Should a DA be supported by an SCC issued by the Panel come before the Panel for determination, the Panel will be comprised of different members to the members that issued the SCC.

As the professional members of the Panel issued the site compatibility certificate, the Panel agreed that it would be inappropriate for them to express any view about the merits of the DA, and a new Panel would need to be convened.

The Council appointees to the Panel did not sit on the Panel which issued the SCC, and were not restricted from continuing to be involved with the determination of the DA.